USDA-APHIS currently has, and is proposing more, accreditation programs, certification programs, and or approval/authorization processes for laboratory testing and diagnostic work for programs such as phytosanitary certification, regulatory compliance activities and exotic pest surveys. The National Seed Health Accreditation System (NSHS) is an example of an accreditation program and the recently established National Plant Pathogen Laboratory Accreditation Program (NPPLAP) is an example of an authorization system for non-federal stakeholders. Accreditation programs can be generalized as resource-intensive, regularly audited, costly programs that are the “top-notch” oversight system employed to verify that uniform methodologies and practices are employed by all entities. Whereas, certification and authorization processes are also employed to ensure that uniform methodologies and practices are used by qualified stakeholders, to verify or diagnose specific insect and disease complexes as part of the safeguarding process. Using the words ‘accreditation’ and ‘authorization’ interchangeably causes unnecessary confusion about the expectations and goals of the diagnostic or verification activity.

As the NSHS was created to accredit private laboratories, there was an understanding that state laboratories would not need to be accredited under this 3rd party system, but should utilized the same methodologies and standards as the NSHS accredited entities. Since that time, due to the demands of international trade, it has become evident that accreditation or some type of credentialing of state laboratories would facilitate negotiations and trade agreements. Since state plant regulatory agencies have been long time partners with USDA in phytosanitary certification of agricultural commodities, states are looking forward to a USDA managed, voluntary, laboratory credentialing process that would meet these needs.

Perhaps, one overarching system needs to be created, with a menu of possible ‘tracks’ stakeholders can choose to pursue. A state, or stakeholder, can chose an accreditation track, such as NSHS; or the NPPLAP authorization process as needed. Within an institution, agency or other stakeholder industry, different menu items can be chosen for different individuals, groups or sections, while reducing the duplication associated with similar, but slightly different, accreditation and authorization programs.
Resolved: By the Central Plant Board at its 82nd annual meeting in Fargo, North Dakota, on February 9, 2006, recommends that USDA clearly define the differences between accreditation programs and authorizations and clarify specific state requirements under these programs.

Be it further resolved, that the Central Plant Board recommends that USDA create one comprehensive management program for oversight of all accreditation and authorization programs within USDA-APHIS to reduce duplication of effort and thus consolidate efforts within the agency.
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